
WHEREAS the Governor of the State of New Mexico has issued an odious and illegal order, “*Executive Order 2021-045*”, I am compelled of morality and of law to deny and repudiate this tyrannical decree:

First, the so-called “vaccines” are not vaccines, but gene therapy.

- As per the [Food & Drug Administration \(Archive\)](#) — “Human gene therapy seeks to modify or manipulate the expression of a gene or to alter the biological properties of living cells for therapeutic use¹. Gene therapy is a technique that modifies a person’s genes to treat or cure disease. Gene therapies can work by several mechanisms: (a) Replacing a disease-causing gene with a healthy copy of the gene; (b) Inactivating a disease-causing gene that is not functioning properly (c) Introducing a new or modified gene into the body to help treat a disease.”
- As per the [U.S. National Library of Medicine \(Archive\)](#) — “Gene therapy is an experimental technique that uses genes to treat or prevent disease.”
- As per [Moderna’s website \(Archive\)](#) — “we set out to create an mRNA technology platform that functions very much like an operating system on a computer. [...] In our case, the “program” or “app” is our mRNA drug - the unique mRNA sequence that codes for a protein. [...] Our mRNA Medicines – The ‘Software of Life’”
- As per [Pfizer \(Archive\)](#) — “mRNA vaccines do not contain any virus particles, meaning that they don’t contain weakened, dead, or noninfectious parts of a virus or bacterium. They provide instructions that teach our own cells to make part of a virus.”
- As per the NIH’s own 2015 paper [mRNA: Fulfilling the Promise of Gene Therapy](#) the mRNA techniques themselves are, by definition, gene therapy.
- As per the [FDA’s own press-release \(Archive\)](#), “The Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine is manufactured using a specific type of virus called adenovirus type 26 (Ad26). The vaccine uses Ad26 to deliver a piece of the DNA, or genetic material, that is used to make the distinctive “spike” protein of the SARS-CoV-2 virus.”
- THEREFORE, **all** of the so-called “vaccines” being offered as an option are in reality gene-therapy and, by definition, *experimental*.
- MOREOVER, the [CDC’s own website \(Archive\)](#) has the following definition: “**Vaccine**: A product that stimulates a person’s immune system to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting the person from that disease. Vaccines are usually administered through needle injections, but can also be administered by mouth or sprayed into the nose.”
- The so-called vaccines, are now admitted by the CDC to lack that very protection.

¹ [Long Term Follow-Up After Administration of Human Gene Therapy Products](#)

Second, the Executive Order relies on the assertion that the abovementioned gene-therapies, fraudulently called “vaccines” by the pharmaceutical corporations, are ‘safe’ and ‘effective’ which they are not:

- I. [‘COVID-19 vaccine efficacy and effectiveness—the elephant \(not\) in the room’](#) details how the usage of “Relative Rate Reduction” with the omitting of Absolute Rate Reduction introduces a bias and impacts the perception of efficacy.
- II. As to the claims of safety:
 - A. [‘COVID-19 RNA Based Vaccines and the Risk of Prion Disease’](#)
 1. “RNA based vaccines offers special risks of inducing specific adverse events. One such potential adverse event is prion based diseases caused by activation of intrinsic proteins to form prions.”
 2. “By placing the identical receptor, the spike protein, on cells of everyone in a population, the genetic diversity for at least one potential receptor disappears. Everyone in the population now becomes potentially susceptible to binding with the same infectious agent.”
 3. “Finally, others working in the field have published additional support that COVID-19 vaccines could potentially induce prion disease.”
 4. “Many have raised the warning that the current epidemic of COVID-19 is actually the result of an bioweapons attack released in part by individuals in the United States government. Such a theory is not far fetched given that the 2001 anthrax attack in the US originated at Fort Detrick, a US army bioweapon facility.”
 5. “Because the FBI’s anthrax investigation was closed against the advice of the lead FBI agent in the case, there are likely conspirators still working in the US government. In such a scenario the primary focus of stopping a bioweapons attack must be to apprehend the conspirators or the attacks will never cease.”
 6. **“Approving a vaccine, utilizing novel RNA technology without extensive testing is extremely dangerous. The vaccine could be a bioweapon and even more dangerous than the original infection.”**
 - B. [‘COVID-19 Vaccines: Should We Fear ADE?’](#)
 1. “In dengue, capillary damage has been attributed to a cytokine storm. Instead, recent data suggests damage results from a circulating viral toxin.²”
 2. “A question asked frequently is whether SARS or MERS CoV infections convey solid protective immunity. Viral respiratory infections often fail to protect the respiratory tract from reinfection by the same organism.”

² [The good, the bad, and the shocking: the multiple roles of dengue virus nonstructural protein 1 in protection and pathogenesis](#)

- C. **'The S1 protein of SARS-CoV-2 crosses the blood–brain barrier in mice'**
1. “Additionally, many if not most viral proteins themselves can be biologically highly active; for example, gp120 is highly toxic. Coronavirus spike proteins are often cleaved from the virus by host cell proteases. Once cleaved, coronavirus spike S1 and S2 subunits are not held covalently by disulfide bonds and so S1 could be shed from virions.”
 2. “Most of the I-S1 taken up by the capillary bed enters the brain parenchyma. [...] These results show that by 30min, over 50% of I-S1 had crossed the capillary wall fully to enter into the brain parenchymal and interstitial fluid spaces”
- D. **'SARS-CoV-2 Prion-Like Domains in Spike Proteins Enable Higher Affinity to ACE2'**
1. “The presence and unique distribution of prion-like domains in the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domains of the spike protein is particularly interesting, since although the SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV S proteins share the same host cell receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), SARS-CoV-2 demonstrates a 10- to 20-fold higher affinity for ACE2.”
 2. “However, SARS-CoV-2 is the only member of β -CoVs that has a PrD in the RBD of the S protein that binds to the ACE2 receptor employed for host cell entry.”
- E. **'RNA editing alterations define manifestation of prion diseases'**
1. “Prion diseases are fatal neurodegenerative disorders characterized by rapidly progressive dementia. Sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (sCJD) is the most prevalent.”
 2. “RNA editing and correlation of editing events in the brain with neurodegenerative (ND) and neurological diseases, suggest differences in global ADAR and APOBEC editome profiles between control and sCJD animals.”
- F. **'SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines and Neurodegenerative Disease'**
- G. **'TRANSMISSIBLE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHIES IN HUMANS'**
- H. **'Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease and related transmissible spongiform encephalopathies'**
- I. **'mRNA modification orchestrates cancer stem cell fate decisions'**
1. “Growing evidence indicates that mRNA modifications display dramatic and dynamic variations during lineage commitment and cell reprogramming, suggesting their biological significance in the maintenance of cell identity.”
 2. “Consistently, subsequent studies have found that mRNA modifications are also essential for maintaining the stemness and malignancy of cancer stem cells.”
 3. “In addition to mRNA, growing evidence demonstrates that ADAR1 also hinders the biogenesis of tumor-suppressive miRNAs, thereby driving leukemia stem cell self-renewal.”
 4. “In conclusion, the aberrant deposition of RNA modifications is tightly linked to the stemness of CSCs. The underlying molecular

mechanisms show that RNA modifications orchestrate almost every step of mRNA metabolism, ranging from mRNA biogenesis to mRNA decay, which can eventually converge to determine the cancer stem cell's fate and tumor progression."

J. 'Potential antigenic cross-reactivity between SARS-CoV-2 and human tissue with a possible link to an increase in autoimmune diseases'

1. "The promotion and implementation of such an aggressive "immune passport" program worldwide in the absence of thorough and meticulous safety studies may exact a monumental cost on humanity in the form of another epidemic, this time a rising tide of increased autoimmune diseases and the years of suffering that come with them."

K. **THEREFORE:**

1. The above provide sufficient grounds to deny the claim of safety;
2. it also motivates extreme caution regarding *any* treatment;
3. exponentially greater caution is needed for any gene therapy, due:
 - a. Prions / Prion-diseases,
 - b. Auto-immune diseases, and
 - c. Cancers.

III. As to the claims of effectiveness:

A. NBC and USA Today reported that 'vaccinated individuals could have higher levels of the virus and infect others'.

B. WUSA9's reported 'Moderna, Pfizer vaccines may prevent disease, but not infection', wherein the interviewee said:

1. "So you know, everyone who gets disease has an infection, and the infection causes the disease, but not everyone who is infected has the disease."
2. "What's being measured in the trials is whether or not they prevent disease, mild, moderate and severe disease,"

C. 'Will covid-19 vaccines save lives? Current trials aren't designed to tell us'

1. "Yet until vaccine manufacturers began to release their study protocols in mid-September, trial registries and other publicly released information did little to dispel the notion that it was severe covid-19 that the trials were assessing. Moderna, for example, called hospital admissions a 'key secondary endpoint' in statements to the media. And a press release from the US National Institutes of Health reinforced this impression, stating that Moderna's trial 'aims to study whether the vaccine can prevent severe covid-19' and 'seeks to answer if the vaccine can prevent death caused by covid-19.'³"
2. "Our trial will not demonstrate prevention of transmission,' Zaks said, 'because in order to do that you have to swab people twice a week for very long periods, and that becomes operationally

³ [Phase 3 clinical trial of investigational vaccine for COVID-19 begins](#)

untenable.”

- D. [‘Mucosal Immunity in COVID-19: A Neglected but Critical Aspect of SARS-CoV-2 Infection’](#)
1. “Almost all efforts at vaccine development against COVID-19 focus on systemic injection, which predominantly induces circulatory IgG antibodies and, potentially, cytotoxic T cells.”
 2. “However, cytotoxic cells by their nature cannot prevent infection: they destroy already infected cells and thereby curtail further propagation of the infection.”
- E. [‘REACT-1 round 13 final report: exponential growth, high prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 and vaccine effectiveness associated with Delta variant in England during May to July 2021’](#)
1. “However, in round 13, 44% of infections occurred in fully vaccinated individuals, reflecting imperfect vaccine effectiveness against infection despite high overall levels of vaccination.”
 2. “Also, development of vaccines against Delta may be warranted in the light of evidence of antigenic change measured by neutralization and the relationship between neutralization titre and protection from mild disease.”
 3. In other words: “The vaccine did little for my health!”
and, “We need *another* vaccine for Delta!”
- F. [CNBC reports: ‘Israel says Pfizer Covid vaccine is just 39% effective as delta spreads, but still prevents severe illness’](#)

IV. As to the attributes of ‘*Coronavirus Disease 2019*’ being novel:

- A. [‘There’s No Variant... Not Novel... No Pandemic. Dr David Martin with Reiner Fuellmich’](#)
1. Over 4,000 patents exist with respect to SARS/Coronavirus. [3:05]
 2. Funded manipulations gave rise to the SARS subclone. [3:22]
 3. [...series of reviews of patent literature derived from references found in: A novel bat coronavirus reveals natural insertions at the S1/S2 2 cleavage site of the Spike protein and a possible recombinant 3 origin of HCoV-19.](#) [3:50]
 - a. At least 120 patents exist with respect to the cited genetic sequences suggesting the declaration of a “novel coronavirus” is entirely fallacious.
 - b. Some of these patents were sought as early as 1999. [5:27]
 4. The first patent ([US6,372,224](#)) for coronavirus, sought by Pfizer on 28 January 2000, mentions the spike protein explicitly. [6:18]
 5. Anthony Fauci and NSAID thought the coronavirus would make for a potential candidate for HIV vaccines. [8:31]
 6. SARS, coming from this line, is not a natural progression of coronavirus, but resultant research at the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill to create an *Infectious Replication-Defective Coronavirus* specifically targeted for human lung epithelium; the end-result of patent [US7,279,327](#). [9:00]
 - a. “In certain embodiments of the invention, the helper cell may include a heterologous DNA encoding the replicon RNA, and/or a heterologous DNA encoding the helper RNA, with the replicon RNA and/or the helper

- RNA being transcribed from the corresponding DNA in the permissive cell.”
- b. “A "nidovirus permissive cell" as used herein can be any cell in which a coronavirus can at least replicate, including both naturally occurring and recombinant cells. [...] The nidovirus permissive cell may be one which has been modified by recombinant means to express a cell surface receptor for the nidovirus.”
 - c. “Coronavirus vectors such as TGEV vectors provide a system for the incorporation and expression of one or more foreign genes”
7. Unusual behavior—in fall of 2001 an enormous number of bacterial and viral pathogens being patented through NIA, NIAID, USAMRIID, and collaborators— raising concerns of coronavirus being seen not only as a possible vaccine vector, but was also clearly being considered as a biological weapon candidate. [12:12]
 8. The 2002 alleged outbreak in China presents problems. [14:11]
 - a. 12 April 2003 patent ([US7,220,852](#)) for the CDC, which
 - b. Filed the entire gene-sequence for SARS Coronavirus.
 - (1) In violation of patent law, which prohibits patent of naturally occurring substances or organisms.
 - c. With derivative patents:
 - (1) US46,592,703-P and
 - (2) [US7,776,521](#).
 - d. Which cover not only the gene-sequence itself, but the means of detecting it using RTCPDR.
 - e. The gene-sequence patent itself was rejected by the patent office *twice*, as the sequence was **already** in the public domain.
 - f. The -P suffix is the indicator that the CDC paid an additional fine/fee to keep their filing private; this undermines the cited claim of the CDC that the information was for the public itself and therefore in the public interest.
 9. On 28 April 2003, Sequoia Pharmaceuticals filed a patent for the treatment of coronavirus ([US7,151,163](#)), before the private patent US46,592,703-P had been filed. [20:40]
 10. The paper which has been used to identify the “novel virus” has 73 patents on the various features whereby the virus is claimed to be novel, which were issued between 2008 and 2019. [27:21]
 11. Dr. Ralph Baric’s advice on [how to profit from a global pandemic, given in a presentation in 2018 at China’s Wuhan lab](#). [28:56]
 12. “To sustain the funding base beyond the crisis we need to increase public understanding of the need for MCMs⁴ such as a pan-influenza or pan coronavirus vaccine. A key driver is the media, and the economics follow the hype. We need to use that

⁴ Medical Countermeasure.

- hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profit at the end of [the] process.” Peter Daszak, **Rapid Medical Countermeasure Response to Infectious Diseases: Enabling Sustainable Capabilities Through Ongoing Public- and Private-Sector Partnerships: Workshop Summary**. 12 February 2016, [Print Page](#) 73, [PDF Page](#) 93. [30:00]
13. Patent US7,279,327 assigned to “NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH (NIH), U.S. DEPT. OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (DHHS), U.S. GOVERNMENT” on 2018-12-17. (See [google’s patent search](#), expand ‘Show All Events’.) [32:42]
 14. In March of 2019 Moderna amended rejected patent filings to make reference to “an intentional or deliberate release.” [36:45]
 15. In November of 2019, Moderna entered into an agreement with UNC Chapel Hill with the object of using Liquid Nano-Particles within their so-called “vaccine” *prior* to the pandemic. [38:35]
 16. A. Fauci in NIAID advisory council meetings, from 2016 to 2019, and elsewhere, lamented the fact that he could not get people to accept the universal influenza vaccine.
 - a. See: [A Universal Influenza Vaccine: The Strategic Plan for the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases](#).
 - b. See: [NIAID Council Meeting Minutes](#).
 17. Before the alleged outbreak the WHO released documents which says that we need to have a coordinated global experience of a respiratory pathogen release, which by September 2020 must put in place a universal capacity for public relations management, crowd control and the acceptance of a universal vaccine mandate. [40:26]
 18. “The fact of the matter is we’re not, we’re injecting a spike protein mRNA sequence, which is a computer simulation, it’s not derived from nature. It’s a computer simulation of a sequence which has been known and patented for years.” — Dr. David Martin [45:55]
 - a. Note that the “in silico” in research-papers means that it is a computer simulation.
 19. “[...] this is about getting people injected with the known-to-be-harmful S1 spike-protein.” — Dr. David Martin [47:05]

The stated mechanism for the gene-therapy, for both the mRNA or viral-vector, is to induce an immune response by causing the cells to produce the spike-protein. As shown above, this is not safe, and there exists data to make a reasonable doubt as to the effectiveness.

The assertions of safety and effectiveness of these gene-therapies is cited in the rationale of the Executive Order itself, and is integral to its justification, as is the validity of the laws enabling it.

The execution of the Executive Order will violate most of the following laws directly, or otherwise cause them to be violated indirectly; there are some citations that will be used to validate the citing of other laws:

1. CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO⁵
 - a. **Article II, Section 1 — Supreme law of the land.**
The state of New Mexico is an inseparable part of the federal union, and the constitution of the United States is the supreme law of the land.
 - b. **Article II, Section 2 — Popular sovereignty.**
All political power is vested in and derived from the people: all government of right originates with the people, is founded upon their will and is instituted solely for their good.
 - c. **Article II, Section 4 — Inherent rights.**
All persons are born equally free, and have certain natural, inherent and inalienable rights, among which are the rights of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing and protecting property, and of seeking and obtaining safety and happiness.
 - d. **Article II, Section 11 — Freedom of religion.**
Every man shall be free to worship God according to the dictates of his own conscience, and no person shall ever be molested or denied any civil or political right or privilege on account of his religious opinion or mode of religious worship. No person shall be required to attend any place of worship or support any religious sect or denomination; nor shall any preference be given by law to any religious denomination or mode of worship.
 - e. **Article II, Section 12 — Trial by jury; less than unanimous verdicts in civil cases.**
The right of trial by jury as it has heretofore existed shall be secured to all and remain inviolate. In all cases triable in courts inferior to the district court the jury may consist of six. The legislature may provide that verdicts in civil cases may be rendered by less than a unanimous vote of the jury.
 - f. **Article II, Section 13 — Bail; excessive fines; cruel and unusual punishment.**
*All persons shall, before conviction, be bailable by sufficient sureties, except for capital offenses when the proof is evident or the presumption great and in situations in which bail is specifically prohibited by this section. Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishment inflicted. Bail may be denied by a court of record pending trial for a defendant charged with a felony if the prosecuting authority requests a hearing and proves by clear and convincing evidence that no release conditions will reasonably protect the safety of any other person or the community. An appeal from an order denying bail shall be given preference over all other matters.
*A person who is not detainable on grounds of dangerousness nor a flight risk in the absence of bond and is otherwise eligible for bail shall not be detained solely because of financial inability to post a money or property bond. A defendant who is neither a danger nor a flight risk and who has a financial inability to post a money or property bond may file a motion with the court requesting relief from the requirement to post bond. The court shall rule on the motion in an expedited manner.**

⁵ The items in this list are linked to the corresponding item in the rationale list.

- g. **Article II, Section 19 — Retroactive laws; bills of attainder; impairment of contracts.**
No ex post facto law, bill of attainder nor law impairing the obligation of contracts shall be enacted by the legislature.

2. CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (via A1S1 of the Constitution of NM)

- a. **Article I, Section 10, Paragraph 1.**

No State shall [...] pass any Bill of Attainder, ex post facto Law [...].

- b. **Article I, Section 10, Paragraph 3.**

No State shall, without the Consent of Congress, [...] enter into any Agreement or Compact with another State [...].

- c. **Article III, Section 3, Clause 1.**

Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort.

- d. **Article IV, Section 2, Paragraph 1.**

The Citizens of each State shall be entitled to all Privileges and Immunities of Citizens in the several States.

- e. **Article VI, Paragraph 2.**

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

- f. **Amendment IV.**

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

- g. **Amendment V.**

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

- h. **Amendment VIII.**

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

3. NEW MEXICO STATUTES ANNOTATED

- a. **§30-2-1. Murder.**

A. Murder in the first degree is the killing of one human being by another without lawful justification or excuse, by any of the means with which death may be caused:

- (1) by any kind of willful, deliberate and premeditated killing;*
- (2) in the commission of or attempt to commit any felony; or*
- (3) by any act greatly dangerous to the lives of others, indicating a depraved mind regardless of human life.*

Whoever commits murder in the first degree is guilty of a capital felony.

B. Unless he is acting upon sufficient provocation, upon a sudden quarrel or in the heat of passion, a person who kills another human being without lawful justification or excuse commits murder in the second degree if in performing the acts which cause the death he knows that such acts create a strong probability of death or great bodily harm to that individual or another.

Murder in the second degree is a lesser included offense of the crime of murder in the first degree.

Whoever commits murder in the second degree is guilty of a second degree felony resulting in the death of a human being.

b. §30-3A-2. Harassment; penalties.

A. Harassment consists of knowingly pursuing a pattern of conduct that is intended to annoy, seriously alarm or terrorize another person and that serves no lawful purpose. The conduct must be such that it would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress.

B. Whoever commits harassment is guilty of a misdemeanor.

c. §30-3A-3. Stalking; penalties.

A. Stalking consists of knowingly pursuing a pattern of conduct, without lawful authority, directed at a specific individual when the person intends that the pattern of conduct would place the individual in reasonable apprehension of death, bodily harm, sexual assault, confinement or restraint of the individual or another individual.

B. As used in this section:

(1) "lawful authority" means within the scope of lawful employment or constitutionally protected activity; and

(2) "pattern of conduct" means two or more acts, on more than one occasion, in which the alleged stalker by any action, method, device or means, directly, indirectly or through third parties, follows, monitors, surveils, threatens or communicates to or about a person.

C. Whoever commits stalking is guilty of a misdemeanor. Upon a second or subsequent conviction, the offender is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

D. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the court shall order a person convicted of stalking to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at the person's own expense or a domestic violence offender treatment or intervention program.

d. §30-3A-3.1. Aggravated stalking; penalties.

A. Aggravated stalking consists of stalking perpetrated by a person:

(1) who knowingly violates a permanent or temporary order of protection issued by a court, except that mutual violations of such orders may constitute a defense to aggravated stalking;

(2) in violation of a court order setting conditions of release and bond;

(3) when the person is in possession of a deadly weapon; or

(4) when the victim is less than sixteen years of age.

B. Whoever commits aggravated stalking is guilty of a fourth degree felony. Upon a second or subsequent conviction, the offender is guilty of a third degree felony.

C. In addition to any punishment provided pursuant to the provisions of this section, the

court shall order a person convicted of aggravated stalking to participate in and complete a program of professional counseling at his own expense.

e. §30-8-1. Public nuisance.

A public nuisance consists of knowingly creating, performing or maintaining anything affecting any number of citizens without lawful authority which is either:

A. injurious to public health, safety, morals or welfare; or

B. interferes with the exercise and enjoyment of public rights, including the right to use public property.

Whoever commits a public nuisance for which the act or penalty is not otherwise prescribed by law is guilty of a petty misdemeanor.

f. §30-13-5. Unlawful coercion of employees.

Unlawful coercion of employees consists of any person employing labor, or any agent of such employer, compelling or coercing, directly or indirectly, any employee to buy goods or trade with any particular store, business or person.

Whoever commits unlawful coercion of employees is guilty of a misdemeanor.

g. §30-15-4. Desecration of a church.

Desecration of a church consists of willfully, maliciously and intentionally defacing a church or any portion thereof.

Whoever commits desecration of a church is guilty of a misdemeanor, except that when the damage to the church amounts to more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) he is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

h. §30-16-9. Extortion.

Extortion consists of the communication or transmission of any threat to another by any means whatsoever with intent thereby to wrongfully obtain anything of value or to wrongfully compel [compel] the person threatened to do or refrain from doing any act against his will.

Any of the following acts shall be sufficient to constitute a threat under this section:

A. a threat to do an unlawful injury to the person or property of the person threatened or of another;

B. a threat to accuse the person threatened, or another, of any crime;

C. a threat to expose, or impute to the person threatened, or another, any deformity or disgrace;

D. a threat to expose any secret affecting the person threatened, or another; or

E. a threat to kidnap the person threatened or another.

Whoever commits extortion is guilty of a third degree felony.

i. §30-21-1. Sabotage.

Sabotage consists of:

A. intentionally destroying, impairing, injuring, interfering or tampering with real or personal property with reasonable grounds to believe that such act will delay or interfere with the preparation of the United States or of any of the states for defense or for war or with the prosecution of war by the United States; or

B. intentionally making or causing to be made or omitting to note on inspection any defect in any article or thing with reasonable grounds to believe that such article or thing is intended to be used in connection with the preparation of the United States or any of the states for defense or war or for the prosecution of war by the United States.

Whoever commits sabotage is guilty of a second degree felony.

j. **§30-26-1. Tampering with public records.**

Tampering with public records consists of:

- A. *knowingly altering any public record without lawful authority;*
- B. *any public officer or public employee knowingly filing or recording any written instrument, judicial order, judgment or decree in a form other than as the original thereof in fact appeared;*
- C. *any public officer or public employee knowingly falsifying or falsely making any record or file, authorized or required by law to be kept;*
- D. *any public officer or public employee knowingly issuing or causing to be issued, any false or untrue certified copy of a public record; or*
- E. *knowingly destroying, concealing, mutilating or removing without lawful authority any public record or public document belonging to or received or kept by any public authority for information, record or pursuant to law.*

Whoever commits tampering with public records is guilty of a fourth degree felony.

k. **§30-28-2. Conspiracy.**

- A. *Conspiracy consists of knowingly combining with another for the purpose of committing a felony within or without this state.*
- B. *Whoever commits conspiracy shall be punished as follows:*
 - (1) *if the highest crime conspired to be committed is a capital or first degree felony, the person committing such conspiracy is guilty of a second degree felony;*
 - (2) *if the highest crime conspired to be committed is a second degree felony, the person committing such conspiracy is guilty of a third degree felony; and*
 - (3) *if the highest crime conspired to be committed is a third degree felony or a fourth degree felony, the person committing such conspiracy is guilty of a fourth degree felony.*

l. **§30-42-4. Prohibited activities; penalties.** (Via "Racketeering Act")

- A. *It is unlawful for a person who has received proceeds derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity in which the person has participated, to use or invest, directly or indirectly, any part of the proceeds or the proceeds derived from the investment or use in the acquisition of an interest in, or the establishment or operation of, an enterprise. Whoever violates this subsection is guilty of a second degree felony.*
- B. *It is unlawful for a person to engage in a pattern of racketeering activity in order to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, an interest in or control of an enterprise. Whoever violates this subsection is guilty of a second degree felony.*
- C. *It is unlawful for a person employed by or associated with an enterprise to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the enterprise's affairs by engaging in a pattern of racketeering activity. Whoever violates this subsection is guilty of a second degree felony.*
- D. *It is unlawful for a person to conspire to violate the provisions of Subsections A through C of this section. Whoever violates this subsection is guilty of a third degree felony.*
- E. *Whoever is convicted of a violation of Subsection A, B, C or D of this section in addition to the prescribed penalties shall forfeit to the state of New Mexico:*
 - (1) *any interest acquired or maintained in violation of the Racketeering Act; and*
 - (2) *any interest in, security of, claim against or property or contractual right*

of any kind affording a source of influence over an enterprise that the person has established, operated, controlled, conducted or participated in the conduct of in violation of the Racketeering Act.

F. The provisions of the Forfeiture Act [Chapter 31, Article 27 NMSA 1978] apply to the seizure, forfeiture and disposal of property described in Subsection E of this section.

4. MAGNA CARTA⁶ (Via the Common Law & Traditional Rights of Englishmen)

a. Item #1 [Religious Freedom; Rights guaranteed in perpetuity to heirs of freemen.]

In the first place we grant to God and confirm by this our present charter for ourselves and our heirs in perpetuity that the English Church is to be free and to have all its rights fully and its liberties entirely. We furthermore grant and give to all the freemen of our realm for ourselves and our heirs in perpetuity the liberties written below to have and to hold to them and their heirs from us and our heirs in perpetuity.

The liberties herein are the legal and jurisprudential heritage of the United States.

b. Item #14 [Prohibition of unlawful and/or extravagant punishment]

A freeman is not to be amerced for a small offence save in accordance with the manner of the offence, and for a major offence according to its magnitude, saving his sufficiency (salvo contenemento suo), and a merchant likewise, saving his merchandise, and any villain other than one of our own is to be amerced in the same way, saving his necessity (salvo waynagio) should he fall into our mercy, and none of the aforesaid ameracements is to be imposed save by the oath of honest and law-worthy men of the neighbourhood. Earls and barons are not to be amerced save by their peers and only in accordance with the manner of their offence.

c. Item #17 [Separation/Limitation of Powers]

No sheriff, constable, coroner or any other of our bailiffs is to hold pleas of our crown.

NOTE: That ‘pleas of the crown’ are the actions wherein the sovereign-executive (king) claim exclusive jurisdiction affecting the king’s peace.

d. Item #26 [Right to Ascertain Facts]

Henceforth there is to be nothing given for a writ of inquest from the person seeking an inquest of life or member, but such a writ is to be given freely and is not to be denied.

Of particular import, as this guarantees the right to ascertain facts within court, without fee, when life or bodily-soundness as stake.

e. Item #29 [Prohibition of Punishment Except by Law]

No freeman is to be taken or imprisoned or disseised of his free tenement or of his liberties or free customs, or outlawed or exiled or in any way ruined, nor will we go against such a man or send against him save by lawful judgement of his peers or by the law of the land. To no-one will we sell or deny of delay right or justice.

Also of import, as this prohibits the ruination, outlawing, & denial of rights.

5. US CODE (via US Constitution Article VI, Paragraph 2)

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

⁶ <https://www.archives.gov/files/press/press-kits/magna-carta/magna-carta-translation.pdf>

a. **18 USC §241 — Conspiracy Against Rights.**

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or

If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured—

They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

b. **18 USC §242 — Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law.**

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or to different punishments, pains, or penalties, on account of such person being an alien, or by reason of his color, or race, than are prescribed for the punishment of citizens, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

c. **18 US Code Chapter 96 — Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations.**

The constraints of time and space prohibit covering this *chapter* of the US Code in its entirety; for more information see:

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-96>

OR

[US Code at USCode.House.gov](https://www.uscode.house.gov)

It is sufficient for now to post the first portion of the section dealing with definitions:

i. **18 U.S. Code §1961 – Definitions.**

(1) “racketeering activity” means (A) any act or threat involving murder, kidnapping, gambling, arson, robbery, bribery, extortion, dealing in obscene matter, or dealing in a controlled substance or listed chemical (as defined in section 102 of the Controlled Substances Act), which is chargeable under State law and punishable by imprisonment for more than one year;

d. **18 US Code Chapter 113B — Terrorism**

Likewise, constraints of time and space prevent covering this chapter in detail; for more information visit:

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-113B>

OR

[US Code at USCode.House.gov](https://www.uscode.house.gov)

It should be sufficient to post a portion of the definitions:

- i. **18 US Code §2331 – Definitions.**
 - (5) *the term “domestic terrorism” means activities that–*
 - (A) *involve acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States or of any State;*
 - (B) *appear to be intended–*
 - (i) *to intimidate or coerce a civilian population;*
 - (ii) *to influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or*
 - (iii) *to affect the conduct of a government by mass destruction, assassination, or kidnapping; and*
 - (C) *occur primarily within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States*

Now, there might be some argument or confusion as to how some of these violations might apply, therefore I will repeat the list above (absent copied legal-text) and give the reasoning behind its inclusion in this listing of violations.

This, I believe, will prove compelling that the executive order is: illegal, causes illegal actions, is odious and disruptive to the enjoyment of life and liberty, and destructive to the rights enjoyed by the Citizens of the State of New Mexico.

1. **CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO⁷**
 - a. **Article II, Section 1 — Supreme law of the land.**
 - i. This is included in order to apply violations of the federal constitution to the list of State violations, given that the State’s own Constitution declares the *Constitution of the United States* supreme law of the land.
 - b. **Article II, Section 2 — Popular sovereignty.**
 - i. This is included in order to establish the purpose of government (and its actions) as being for the good of the people, and
 - ii. That the government is established upon the will of the people.
 - c. **Article II, Section 4 — Inherent rights.**
 - i. Asserting that the people have the inalienable rights of:
 - (1) enjoying life,
 - (2) enjoying liberty, and
 - (3) seeking safety.
 - ii. This is violated if the ‘safety’ of the gene-therapy is less than presented.
 - d. **Article II, Section 11 — Freedom of religion.**
 - i. Asserting the freedom to worship ‘*according to the dictates of his own conscience*’,
 - (1) This clause prohibits discounting a particular person’s refusal on religious grounds by saying that other denominations, or their own, accept or endorse the gene-therapy;

⁷ The items in this list are linked to the corresponding element in the citation list.

- ii. Asserting that ‘*no person shall ever be molested or denied any civil or political right or privilege on account of his religious opinion or mode of religious worship*’,
 - (1) This clause will be violated by administrators, or law-enforcement, or the judiciary attempting to molest those who refuse the gene-therapy on religious grounds.
 - (2) Note that there exists the civil right to ask ‘By What Authority?’ — and that this right, claimed by someone refusing the gene-therapy, would easily be dismissed by those in authority.
- e. **Article II, Section 12 — Trial by jury; less than unanimous verdicts in civil cases.**
 - i. This is included because item #3 of the Executive Order, while couched in legal terms and restrictions by the phrase “in accordance with applicable law” is likely to be ignored in favor of ‘rules’ and ‘regulations’ and ‘employee handbooks’ which do not provide for jury trial in the civil disobedience of this illegal order; to wit: it is likely that an employee would be terminated without the ability to avail himself of a jury.
- f. **Article II, Section 13 — Bail; excessive fines; cruel and unusual punishment.**
 - i. This prohibits ‘*cruel and unusual punishment*’ being inflicted.
 - (1) The deprivation of employment over a civil matter of which legitimacy is questioned is a cruel punishment indeed.
 - (2) The same is also unusual, as *tortious interference*⁸ has been recognized by the courts since if not 1620, then certainly 1707, which helps deter such imposition upon living and working.
- g. **Article II, Section 19 — Retroactive laws; bills of attainder; impairment of contracts.**
 - i. The bill of attainder is one wherein the legislature asserts the guilt of the accused party;
 - ii. according to *Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States*⁹ by Joseph Story:

§1338. Bills of attainder, as they are technically called, are such special acts of the legislature, as inflict capital punishments upon persons supposed to be guilty of high offences, such as treason and felony, without any conviction in the ordinary course of judicial proceedings. If an act inflicts a milder degree of punishment than death, it is called a bill of pains and penalties. But in the sense of the constitution, it seems, that bills of attainder include bills of pains and penalties; for the Supreme Court have said, “A bill of attainder may affect the life of an individual, or may confiscate his property, or both.” In such cases, the legislature assumes judicial magistracy, pronouncing upon the guilt of the party without any of the common forms and guards of trial, and satisfying itself with proofs, when such proofs are within its reach, whether they are conformable to the rules of evidence, or not. In short, in all such cases, the legislature exercises the highest power of sovereignty, and what may be properly deemed an irresponsible despotic

⁸ See: https://infogalactic.com/info/Tortious_interference

⁹ See: http://resources.utulsa.edu/law/classes/rice/Constitutional/Storey/story_hist_const_prohibit.html

discretion, being governed solely by what it deems political necessity or expediency, and too often under the influence of unreasonable fears, or unfounded suspicions.

- iii. As we are dealing with an Executive Order, this is not itself technically & directly a violation of this prohibition, but given the manner in which the Order invokes the *All Hazards Emergency Management Act*, absent the jury-trial, operates in similar manner as a bill of attainder;
- iv. Even if there is no factor of the *Bill of Attainder* in this Order, this is the retroactive alteration/reinterpretation of extant [employment] contracts (and thereby impairing them) with the observed goal to deny traditional rights and privileges under the Color of Law.
- v. Due to interaction with Article I, Section 10, Paragraph 3, the law itself whereupon the supposed authority for this Order is claimed is invalid, and an attempt to obtain retroactive validation would therefore violate this prohibition *prima facie*. See 2.b.iv.

2. CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (via A1S1 of the Constitution of NM)

a. Article I, Section 10, Paragraph 1.

See 1. g. iii., and

b. Article I, Section 10, Paragraph 3.

- i. The *All Hazards Emergency Act*, NMSA 12-10-4, in section B (6) says: *In carrying out the provisions of the All Hazard Emergency Management Act, the governor is authorized to: [...] (6) enter into mutual aid agreements with other states and to coordinate mutual aid agreements between political subdivisions of the state.*
- ii. This is flatly prohibited by the Constitution of the United States which declares that: *No State shall, without the Consent of Congress, [...] enter into any Agreement or Compact with another State [...].*
- iii. Insofar as I know, no such consent has been obtained by congress,
- iv. Such consent obtained after the passage of the law would make it an *Ex Post Facto* (retroactive) law.

c. Article III, Section 3, Clause 1.

- i. The New Mexico State Constitution, Article XVIII, Section 1 says: *The militia of this state shall consist of all able-bodied male citizens between the ages of eighteen and forty-five, except such as are exempt by laws of the United States or of this state. The organized militia shall be called the "national guard of New Mexico," of which the governor shall be the commander in chief.*
- ii. The 2ND Amendment of the United States Constitution states: *A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.*
- iii. So, the militia which is 'all able-bodied male citizens between the ages of eighteen and forty-five' is declared to be necessary for 'the security of a free State'.
- iv. THEREFORE, the mandate to administer the gene-therapy, fraudulently labeled "vaccine", and for which there is reasonable reason to suspect injury or death would have the effect of undermining that defense,
- v. THEREFORE, as it would be the systematic destruction of the people's defense against enemies, it must be held to be giving aid and comfort to those same enemies and thus Treason.

- d. **Article IV, Section 2, Paragraph 1.**
 - i. The privileges and immunities herein include the Rights of the Magna Carta and the Rights of Englishmen, inherited by the privilege both of our birth and by the founding of these United States.
 - e. **Article VI, Paragraph 2.**
 - i. This is cited in order to affirm New Mexico’s Constitution’s declaration that the Constitution for the United States is the supreme law of the land.
 - ii. It is also cited to include direct or possible violations of the US Code as concerns, even of members of State government.
 - f. **Amendment IV.**
 - i. The Executive Order would violate the right of the people to be secure
 - (1) in their persons, as the Executive Order coerces people to violate their bodies:
 - (a) with the gene-therapy, fraudulently labeled as ‘vaccine’, or
 - (b) with the harassment of repeated ‘testing’.
 - (2) in their papers.
 - (a) the Executive Order demands the presentation of papers (medical records) without Warrant.
 - (3) it is in violation of the established case law of *Roe v. Wade* wherein the US Supreme Court found a constitutional right to the privacy of medical records, especially from the government,
 - g. **Amendment V.**
 - i. The Executive Order is written in such manner as to label those who reject vaccination themselves, or the overreach of government, or the imposition of tyranny as perpetrators of some infamous crime:
 - (1) Endangering the individual,
 - (2) Endangering the community,
 - (3) “Jeopardizing the progress the State has made against the Pandemic”, and
 - (4) Insinuating that there is no such thing as “sick-leave” to which an employee is entitled for just such cause.
 - ii. The Executive Order is well-primed to functionally compel those who refuse to accede to the illegal demands to show papers to use that refusal as witness against themselves.
 - iii. The Executive Order, though wrapped in legal language to give it Color of Law, deprives the people of liberty —and possibly life— without due process.
 - h. **Amendment VIII.**
 - i. See 1. f. i.
3. NEW MEXICO STATUTES ANNOTATED
- a. **§30-2-1. Murder.**
 - i. If the governor is aware of the fatality rates of the gene-therapy, then this is willful, deliberate, and pre-meditated — fulfilling all of the requirements for §30–2–1 A (1),

- ii. In the context of the Treason, Sabotage, Racketeering, etc, then the Order fulfills all requirements of §30–2–1 A (2),
 - iii. Lastly, regardless of the above, the Order displays a willful act dangerous to the lives of others, “*indicating a depraved mind regardless of human life*” and thereby fulfills §30–2–1 A (3).
- b. **§30-3A-2. Harassment; penalties.**
- i. The Executive Order presents a pattern of conduct intended to annoy or terrorize with it’s coercive demands to partake in the gene-therapy, the thesis of this document is that there is no lawful purpose and that the authority has been unlawfully exceeded— it is obvious that the threat of testing for the “unvaccinated” every two weeks is intended as a form of duress precisely by wearing down those who do not comply with emotional distress, this is exactly why item #3 of the Order explicitly threatens “up to and including termination”; these fulfill the qualifications for §30–3A–2 A.
- c. **§30-3A-3. Stalking; penalties.**
- i. The Executive Order will induce governmental administrators and law enforcement into a pattern of conduct toward “the unvaccinated” causing the apprehension of bodily harm (via testing, or via the gene-therapy itself) without lawful authority, thereby causing the fulfillment of §30–3A–2A.
- d. **§30-3A-3.1. Aggravated stalking; penalties.**
- i. Whereas Law Enforcement are armed, subsection A (3) will be fulfilled by any Law Enforcement agent attempting to enforce this order, which is sufficient.
- e. **§30-8-1. Public nuisance.**
- i. The Order impacts many citizens, and
 - ii. Without lawful authority coerces
 - (1) gene-therapy, is injurious to the physical public health, or
 - (2) repeated, coercive mandatory testing injurious to mental health.
 - iii. And which interferes in the exercise and enjoyment of public rights.
 - iv. The above i & ii or i & iii are sufficient to fulfil §30–8–1.
- f. **§30-13-5. Unlawful coercion of employees.**
- i. Whereas the gene-therapy injections are provided by a limited number of particular companies, and
 - ii. The Executive Order is coercive to the object of using the above injections, and
 - iii. Item #2 of the Order targets “State employees”, and
 - iv. The gene-therapy is bought, indirectly via taxes and government contracts,
 - v. There is no option to refrain from the purchase of the aforementioned,
 - vi. The elements of §30–13–5 are, arguably, fulfilled.
- g. **§30-15-4. Desecration of a church.**
- i. While the Courts will likely disagree, the theological point which Christianity has proclaimed for nearly two thousand years must be made; according to the scriptures: “*What? know ye not that your body is the temple of the Holy Ghost which is in you, which ye have of God, and ye*

are not your own? For ye are bought with a price: therefore glorify God in your body, and in your spirit, which are God's."

— **1 Corinthians 6:19–20**, King James Version.

- ii. The publicly proclaimed function of the mRNA gene-therapies is this: that the mRNA will enter the cell and cause the production of COVID's spike Protein.
- iii. Such operation is by its nature altering the proper function of the cell, and thereby desecrating and defacing the Temple of the Holy Spirit which is the body of the believer.
- h. **§30-16-9. Extortion.**
 - i. The Executive Order displays the threats in the abovementioned items,
 - ii. The Executive Order was transmitted, via official channels, and
 - iii. The Executive Order's object is:
 - (1) The imposition of tyranny;
 - (2) The stripping of rights and liberties;
 - (3) The forcing of the gene-therapy, likely with the object of
 - (a) Sterilization,
 - (b) Injury, and/or
 - (c) Death;
 - (4) all of the above are things of value, or the deprivation thereof,
 - iv. Thus the *Aggravated Stalking* above would fulfill §30–16–9 A.
 - v. The threat of the gene-therapy, if
 - (1) injurious, fulfills §30–16–9 A;
 - (2) deformation of the immune system occurs, fulfills §30–16–9 C.
- i. **§30-21-1. Sabotage.**
 - i. The obvious heart issues caused by the gene-therapy is arguably sabotage, though this depends on considering the heart as "*real or personal property*".
 - ii. A more solid case may be made that this Executive Order is causing to be made notes affirming the health of militia-members while simultaneously causing the degradation of their health.
- j. **§30-26-1. Tampering with public records.**
 - i. §30–26–1 is fulfilled by subsection A, given the thesis of this paper, that the authority claimed in this Executive Order is indeed illegitimate,
 - ii. Subsection D is fulfilled with the claims of the Executive Order that the gene-therapy, mislabeled as "vaccine", are 'safe' and 'effective'.
- k. **§30-28-2. Conspiracy.**
 - i. The elements of conspiracy are fulfilled in the abovementioned felonies when the State enforces this Executive Order.
- l. **§30-42-4. Prohibited activities; penalties.** (Via "Racketeering Act")
 - i. Subsection A is likely fulfilled in the usage of public funds to purchase the gene-therapy, force the consumption thereof (via the Executive Order and other extortionate practices), and investments made by the State of New Mexico into the various pharmaceutical companies.
 - ii. Subsection C is fulfilled if you consider government to be an enterprise.

4. MAGNA CARTA¹⁰ (Via the Common Law & Traditional Rights of Englishmen)
- a. Item #1 [Religious Freedom; Rights guaranteed in perpetuity to heirs of freemen.]
 - i. The liberties herein are our legal and jurisprudential heritage.
 - ii. The grant of liberties is in perpetuity.
 - b. Item #14 [Prohibition of unlawful and/or extravagant punishment]
 - i. “*A freeman is not to be amerced for a small offence save in accordance with the manner of the offence*” is the direct ancestor of the 8TH Amendment’s prohibition of “excessive fines” and the 7TH Amendment’s assurance of the right of jury-trial common law suits exceeding \$20.
 - ii. **Amerce**
 - (1) To punish by an arbitrary or discretionary fine,
 - (2) To punish by inflicting a penalty of any kind, as by depriving of some right or privilege, or entailing some loss upon.
 - iii. “*and none of the aforesaid ameracements is to be imposed save by the oath of honest and law-worthy men of the neighbourhood*”
 - (1) is the prohibition of such amercement applied by some far-off ruler
 - (2) and imposes an anti-corruption factor by requiring the oath of the honest men of the area.
 - iv. Thus the Executive Order, in its operation (specifically #3), violates this.
 - c. Item #17 [Separation/Limitation of Powers]
 - i. By prohibiting sheriffs, constables, coroners, and other bailiffs¹¹ to hold Pleas of the Crown¹², not only are abuses of those offices limited, but also the [executive] powers of the sovereign are held in check.
 - ii. The usage of law enforcement to enforce this Executive Order, may therefore be considered to be a violation of this.
 - d. Item #26 [Right to Ascertain Facts]
 - i. The requirement of a fee in order to secure the right to defend one’s self in issues where life or limb are at stake is prohibited.
 - ii. Moreover, the obtaining of the writ for that purpose is “*to be given freely and is not to be denied.*”
 - iii. This is cited, preemptively, in order to guard against both the denial of ‘Standing’ and the denial of the right to exercise such inquest.

10

<https://www.archives.gov/files/press/press-kits/magna-carta/magna-carta-translation.pdf>

¹¹ **BAILIFF** — An individual who is entrusted with some authority, care, guardianship, or jurisdiction over designated persons or property. One who acts in a managerial or ministerial capacity or takes care of land, goods, and chattels of another in order to make the best profit for the owner. A minor officer of a court serving primarily as a messenger or usher. A low-level court official or sheriff’s deputy whose duty is to preserve and protect orderly conduct in court proceedings. — <https://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/bailiff>

¹² **PLEAS OF THE CROWN** — This phrase is now employed to signify criminal causes in which the king is a party. Formerly it signified royal causes for offences of a greater magnitude than mere misdemeanors. These were left to be tried in the courts of the barons, whereas the greater offences, or royal causes, were to be tried in the king’s courts, under the appellation of pleas of the crown. — <https://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Pleas+of+the+crown>

- e. **Item #29** **[Prohibition of Punishment Except by Law]**
 - i. It is shown that this Executive Order is indeed a violation of rights and liberties, therefore:
 - (1) The imprisonment of a person rejecting the gene-therapy would violate this right,
 - (2) The “*disciplinary action*” and “*termination*” **retaliation** threatened for the refusal of the illegal demands of this Order (Item #3) upon the State Employees is obviously a violation of this right.
 - (3) Note that this includes ‘ruination’ — exactly the outcome of such “*disciplinary action*” and “*termination*” after the lockdowns and destruction of New Mexico’s small businesses.

5. **US CODE** (via US Constitution Article VI, Paragraph 2)
This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

- a. **18 USC §241 — Conspiracy Against Rights.**
 - i. As shown above, this Executive Order would have, in its very operation, the injury and threat and oppression and intimidation in the exercise of their rights under the Constitution (in particular via the 9TH and 10TH Amendments).
 - ii. Thus, the enforcement of this Order would fulfill the element of “two or more persons” conspiring to enact the same.
 - iii. The enforcement of this Order, considering the object of forcing the gene-therapy, may also be regarded as “*an attempt to kill*”.
- b. **18 USC §242 — Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law.**
 - i. As shown above, this Executive Order is the willful deprivation of rights and privileges secured by both the laws and the Constitution of the United States.
- c. **18 US Code Chapter 96 — Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations.**
 The constraints of time and space prohibit covering this *chapter* of the US Code in its entirety; for more information see:

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-96>
[US Code at USCode.House.gov](https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-96)

OR

It is sufficient for now to post the first portion of the section dealing with definitions:

- i. **18 U.S. Code §1961 – Definitions.**
 - (1) As shown in 3 a of this list, the Executive Order threatens Murder.
 - (2) As shown in 3 h of this list, the Executive Order threatens Extortion.
- d. **18 US Code Chapter 113B — Terrorism**
 Likewise, constraints of time and space prevent covering this chapter in detail; for more information visit:

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-113B>
[US Code at USCode.House.gov](https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/part-I/chapter-113B)

OR

It should be sufficient to post a portion of the definitions:

- i. [18 US Code §2331 – Definitions.](#)
 - (1) As shown in the above, the activities stemming directly and indirectly from the implementation of the Executive Order are indeed:
 - (a) Dangerous to human life, and a violation of the criminal laws of the United States and New Mexico.
 - (b) Which appear intended to:
 - (i) intimidate or coerce the civilian population,


To reiterate, the object of the Executive order is to cause the employment of gene-therapy upon the harassment and threat of ruination, and that said gene-therapy [alters the basic cellular function of your body](#); this gene-therapy is neither safe nor effective, and may even promote ill-health by provoking [Antibody-Dependent Enhancement](#), auto-immune disease, prion-disease, and/or heart-disease/-injury.

Moreover, there are reports that indicate these situations, if not wholly false, are being used as a vehicle of profit — e.g. [Chinese military scientist filed a patent for a COVID-19 vaccine BEFORE the virus was declared a global pandemic and worked closely with 'bat woman' at Wuhan institute](#) and [Peter Daszak's EcoHealth Alliance Has Hidden Almost \\$40 Million In Pentagon Funding And Militarized Pandemic Science](#) — and which were likely enacted by [Treasonous elements within the United States](#).

THEREFORE, I can in no way countenance this Executive Order at the present time, nor those who attempt to enforce it — as our brothers in Tennessee summed up in their Constitution:

*the doctrine of nonresistance against
arbitrary power and oppression is absurd,
slavish, and destructive of the good and
happiness of mankind.*

OPERATION OF THE VIRUS-VECTOR AND mRNA-VECTOR GENE THERAPIES



SUBMITTED IN A FORM ACCESSIBLE TO THE GOVERNOR

I do not want it in my arm,
I think you mean me only harm.
I do not want it to board a plane,
I do not want it to ride a train.
I do not want that clot shot!

I do not want this stroke poke,
which you push on weak-willed folk.
Nor your endless tyrannies,
transforming all to jab junkies.
Nor any of these gene-therapies!

I will not have it for donuts,
Nor for the lousy lotto tickets.
Not even for a box of cash!
What good is that,
when for my soul the devil asks?

I do not want some ruined genes!
from this lie of safe “vaccines.”
So fuck off with your “free beer”,
And bring not your threats here,
I do not want scrambled-genes and prions!



Figure 2 — A fox in sox, presenting poetry blocks!



Figure 3 — ‘Safe’ GMO ham.